# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER DOCKET NO.: 2007-1274-PST-E TCEQ ID: RN101663235 CASE NO.: 34477

RESPONDENT NAME: DON STRONG

ORDER TYPE:					
1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING			
X_FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDERSHUTDOWN ORDERIMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER				
_AMENDED ORDEREMERGENCY ORDER					
CASE TYPE:					
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE			
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	X_PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION			
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL			
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION			
TYPE OF OPERATION: Property with the SMALL BUSINESS: YesX_ N OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: The regarding this facility or location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other the COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Reg CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:     TCEQ Attorney: Mr. Patrick Jack Ms. Lena Robert TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: TCEQ Regional Contact: Mr. Fran Respondent: Mr. Don Strong, 1302	-	ditional pending enforcement actions  n interest in this matter.  8. No comments were received.  501  9  n, MC R-4, (817) 588-5825			

# RESPONDENT NAME: DON STRONG DOCKET NO.: 2007-1274-PST-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$7,875	Corrective Action(s) Taken
Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-up X Records Review	Total Deferred: \$0  Expedited Order Financial Inability to Pay	The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent permanently removed the USTs from the ground on September 12, 2007.
Date of Complaints Relating to this Case: None  Dates of Investigation Relating to this Case: July 17, 2007 (Record Review)	SEP Conditional Offset  SEP Conditional Offset: \$0  Total Due to General Revenue: \$7,875	
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: July 31, 2007  Background Facts:	This is a Default Order. The Respondent has not actually paid any of the assessed penalty but will be required to do so under the terms of this Order.	
The EDPRP was filed on February 4, 2008. The Respondent received notice of the EDPRP on February 5, 2008. The Respondent failed to file an answer, request a hearing, or schedule a settlement conference.	Site Compliance History Classification:  High X Average Poor  Person Compliance History Classification:  High X Average Poor	
PST:	Major Source:Yes _X_No Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	
1. Failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, three USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2)].		
2. Failed to maintain all piping, pump, manways, tank access points and ancillary equipment in a capped, plugged, locked, and/or otherwise secured in a manner to prevent access, tampering, or vandalism by unauthorized persons [30 Tex. Admin Code § 334.54(b)].		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

The state of the s	Per	nalty C	Calculatio	n Workshe	et (PCW	)	
Policy Revision 2 (Septe						•	ptember 19, 2007
	16-Aug-2007 31-Jan-2008	Screening	16-Aug-2007	EPA Due		en de la companya de O la companya de la c	
RESPONDENT/FACILITY I Respondent [ Reg. Ent. Ref. No. F Facility/Site Region S	Oon Strong RN101663235			Major/Minor	Source Minor		
CASE INFORMATION	a vava alimo e vari.					rv. 20032: Section 100000	22, 23-23 Salah 250-373-32
Enf./Case ID No.	2007-1274-PST- Petroleum Storaç		Maximum	Ord Enf. Coo	olations 1 ler Type 1660 rdinator Judy I 's Team Enford		
		Pena	Itv Calcula	tion Section			
TOTAL BASE PENAL	ΓΥ (Sum of vi			بالعالي الأخوا لا والمراج المامة في الواد بالمام المراجع المام المام المام المام المام المام المام المام المام	COMPACINOMENSA PAREN	ototal 1	\$7,500
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtaine Compliance Histor	ed by multiplying the	<b>L 1</b> Fotal Base Pe	and a first property of the second section of the se	the indicated percentage	s. Subtotals 2	, 3, & 7	\$375
Notes	Enhancement fo		NOV with same ne past five year	e or similar violation s.	s within	To go to de libror de libror de la constante d	
Culpability	No	A SECTION	. 0%	Enhancement	Suk	ototal 4	\$0
Notes	The Res	pondent de	oes not meet the	e culpability criteria.			
Good Faith Effort I	الاندوا عشيسمه التعشيرين أروانيها ويؤم بيطريه يستبير براء عش	NOV to EDPR	<b>0%</b> :P/Settlement Offer 1	Reduction	Sul	ototal 5	\$0
Ordinary						•	***************************************
N/A		nark with x)					
Notes	NO.	good faith	reduction in defa	auit situations.			
	Fotal EB Amounts ost of Compliance	\$4,364 \$10,000		Enhancement* at the Total EB \$ Amoun		ototal 6	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	1-7			Social Cold Control Co	Final S	ubtotal	\$7,875
OTHER FACTORS AS Reduces or enhances the Final Sub			IRE	0%	Adju	stment	\$0
Notes		-					And other and
Ŀ				1	Final Penalty A	mount	\$7,875
STATUTORY LIMIT AD	JUSTMENT			Fir	al Assessed F	Penalty	\$7,875
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Penalt	ly by the indicted per	centage. <i>(En</i>	ter number only; e.g	100 A T 1 C GAS (4 SO SO SO	duction Adjus	stment	\$0
Notes	Deferr	al not offer	ed for non-expe	dited settlement.			

\$7,875

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 16-Aug-2007

**Docket No.** 2007-1274-PST-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision September 19, 2007

Respondent Don Strong

Case ID No. 34477

Reference No. RN10166323

	Compliance History Worksheet		
	y Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	<b></b>	5%
	Other written NOVs	0.00	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	U	0%
		se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
0 11.01	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment P	Percentage (Su	btotal 2)
Violator (Su			
No	A branch situation of the second seco	ercentage (Su	ptotal 3)
E	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Po	<del>-</del>	ercentage (Su	ntotai 1)
ance History	' Summary		a unisalità a la com-
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one prior NOV with same or similar violations within the past five	years.	

Screening Date	16-Aug-2007	Docket	No. 2007-1274-PST-E	PCW
Respondent				Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.	\$ !			PCW Revision September 19, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.				
	Petroleum Storage Tank			
Enf. Coordinator	<del></del>			
Violation Number	1			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex.	Admin. Code §§ 334.47	7(a)(2) and 334.54(b)	
Violation Description	upgrade implementation system is not brought ir failed to maintain all equipment in a cappe	date, three USTs for who timely compliance working, pump, manways	ater than 60 days after the prestrict any applicable component if the upgrade requirements and auxilled for otherwise secured manner zed persons.	of the Also, ary
			Base I	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	and Human Health Ma	triv		
>> Environmental, Floperty a	Harm	UIA		
Release		Minor		
OR Actual		The state of the s		
Potential	X	Luci Kabbakia	Percent 25%	
		A STATE OF THE STA		•
>>Programmatic Matrix		20 A S		
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	Percent 0%	
			Percent 0%	
		And the state of t	The state of the s	
			pollutants which would exceed ptors as a result of the violation	
			Adjustment	\$7,500
Commission of the commission o	minimine de amende de la companya d		REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	<u> </u>
				\$2,500
Violation Events				
Violation Events				
Number of Vic	plation Events 3	30	Number of violation days	
		7		
	daily	<u> </u>  }		
mark only one	monthly x quarterly	1	Violation Base F	Penalty \$7,500
mark only one with an x	semiannual		Violation Dase i	enary v.,ooo
	annual			
	single event	1	•	
	# suppressible of the second control of the	<b>-3</b> 		
Three month	ly events (one per tank) are	recommended from th	e July 17, 2007 record review	tate to
This of months		ust 16, 2007 screening		
	11.5		<u> </u>	n niad
Economic Benefit (EB) for thi	is violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated	i EB Amount	\$4,364	Violation Final Penalt	y Total \$7,875
	<del></del>			
	Ţ	his violation Final As	sessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$7,875

<ul> <li>[1] A. A. A. A. A. M. M.</li></ul>		conomic	Benefit W	orks	sneet		
Responden	t Don Strong						
Case ID No	34477						
Reg. Ent. Reference No	. RN101663235						
- 보호 (Co. 14 ) 프트트 - 14 (Co. 14 ) 44 (14 ) 15 (Co. 14 ) 15 (14 ) 15 (14 ) 15 (14 ) 15 (14 ) 15 (14 ) 15 (14 )	a Petroleum Stor	age Tank					Years of
Violation No		ago rain				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No	ne. Wata sa cara ya ka	41.454.0009.6056		SPARC RE			starcher epaceest.co
						5,0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	n No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs	<b>5</b> 10 (40 12) 22 -						ANTHUS I
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings		14	ereboken aleke	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		112		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land		1 1 2 1 1		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling		10.1 # m.*		0.0	\$0	n/a - 1	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	F	12 4 440 45	INDUSTRIAL CONTRACT	0.0	\$0	in/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$10,000	22-Dec-1998	12-Sep-2007	0.7	£4.004	epública terefologopopopopolico ( a provinció de poporto de la provincia ( a loro :	04004
				8.7	s4,364	ate required is the d	\$4,364
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was require	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U	ystem fi ST syst	rom service. The d em and the final d	ate required is the date is the compliance	ate when the date.
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fi ST syst	rom service. The d em and the final d item (except for c	ate required is the date is the compliance	ate when the date.
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0	rom service. The d em and the final d item (except for c	ate required is the date is the compliance	ate when the date.
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final defination of the f	ate required is the drate is the compliance	ate when the date.  state of the date of the date.  \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final di	ate required is the date is the compliance	ste when the e date.  Sts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final defination of the f	ate required is the drate is the compliance	ste when the date.  Sosts)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST's d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fi ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final defined (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ate required is the date is the compliance one-time avoided compliance so	sate when the date.  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required  UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final ditem (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ate required is the date is the compliance one-time avoided or \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ste when the date.  Sosts)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required  UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final defined (except for company) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ate required is the date is the compliance one-time avoided complete some some some some some some some som	sate when the date.  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required  UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final defined (except for company) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ate required is the date is the compliance one-time avoided complete some some some some some some some som	sate when the date.  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required  UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final defined (except for company) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ate required is the date is the compliance one-time avoided complete some some some some some some some som	sate when the date.  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required  UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final defined (except for company) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ate required is the date is the compliance one-time avoided complete some some some some some some some som	sate when the date.  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required  UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final defined (except for company) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ate required is the date is the compliance one-time avoided complete some some some some some some some som	sate when the date.  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated co	ost to permanently ondent was required  UALIZE [1] avoide	remove the UST s d to upgrade the U ed costs before e	ystem fr ST syst ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	rom service. The dem and the final defined (except for company) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ate required is the date is the compliance one-time avoided complete some some some some some some some som	sate when the date.  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

## **Compliance History**

Customer	r/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN600897326	STRONG, DON	•	Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Rating: 3.01
Regulate	d Entity:	RN101663235	S & M GROCERY		Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01
ID Numbe	er(s):	PETROLEUM ST REGISTRATION	ORAGE TANK ·	REGISTRAT	rion .	41667
Location:		1300 W HIGHWA	Y 21, CALDWELL, TX, 7	7836	Rating Date: 9/1/2006 Repea	t Violator: NO
TCEQ Re	egion:	REGION 09 - WAG	co			
Date Con	npliance History Prepared:	August 17, 2007				
Agency D	ecision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement				
Compliance Period:		August 17, 2002 to	o August 17, 2007			
TCEQ Sta	aff Member to Contact for Additional Info	rmation Regarding th	nis Compliance History	•		
Name:	Judy Kluge	Pho	one: (817) 588-58	25	·	
		Site Co	ompliance History Co	omponents		
1. Has the	e site been in existence and/or operation			Yes	5	•
2. Has the	ere been a (known) change in ownership	of the site during the	e compliance period?	No .		
	who is the current owner?			N/A		
4. if Yes,	who was/were the prior owner(s)?			N/A		•
5. When	did the change(s) in ownership occur?			N/A		
Compor	nents (Multimedia) for the Site :					
A.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judger	ments, and consent d	lecrees of the state of Te	xas and the federal (	government.	
	N/A					
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of	Texas and the federa	al government.			
	N/A Chronic excessive emissions events.					•
C.						
D.	N/A  The approval dates of investigations. (C	CCEDS Inv: Track: N	o )			
<b>.</b>	1 11/16/2006 (519026) 2 02/21/2007 (540926) 3 07/24/2007 (567944)		.,			
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (CC	CEDS Inv. Track. No.			•	
	Date: 11/14/2006 (519026		,			•
		,	er C 334.47(a)(2) removal of a UST that ha	assification: Mode as not met upgrade assification: Mode		
			r C 334.54(b)[G] s to prevent access, tam	pering or vandalism	by	
F.	Environmental audits. N/A		·			
G.	Type of environmental management sy	stems (EMSs).				
	N/A					4
н	Voluntary on-site compliance assessment	ent dates.		•		
	N/A		,			
I.	Participation in a voluntary pollution red	luction program.				
	N/A		•			•
J.	Early compliance.					

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
DON STRONG;	§	
RN101663235	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### DEFAULT ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1274-PST-E

At its	_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality,
("Commission" or "TCEQ") consider	ered the Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition
filed pursuant to TEX. WATER COD	E chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the TCEQ, which requests
appropriate relief, including the impo	osition of an administrative penalty. The respondent made the
subject of this Order is Don Strong (	"Mr. Strong").

The Commission makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Mr. Strong owned property that had three underground storage tanks ("USTs") located at 1300 West Highway 21, Caldwell, Burleson County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. Mr. Strong's USTs were not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission. Mr. Strong's USTs contained a regulated petroleum substance as defined in the rules of the Commission.
- 3. During a record review conducted on July 17, 2007, a TCEQ investigator documented that Mr. Strong:
  - a. Failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, three USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements.
  - b. Failed to maintain all piping, pump, manways, tank access points and ancillary equipment in a capped, plugged, locked, and/or otherwise secured in a manner to prevent access, tampering, or vandalism by unauthorized persons.

- 4. Mr. Strong received notice of the violations on or about August 5, 2007.
- 5. The Executive Director recognizes that Mr. Strong permanently removed the USTs from the ground on September 12, 2007.
- 6. The Executive Director filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against Don Strong" (the "EDPRP") in the TCEQ Chief Clerk's office on February 4, 2008.
- 7. By letter dated February 4, 2008, sent via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid, the Executive Director served Mr. Strong with notice of the EDPRP. According to the return receipt "green card", Mr. Strong received notice of the EDPRP on February 5, 2008, as evidenced by the signature on the card.
- 8. More than 20 days have elapsed since Mr. Strong received notice of the EDPRP, provided by the Executive Director. Mr. Strong failed to file an answer to the EDPRP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 1 and 2, Mr. Strong is subject to the jurisdiction of the TCEQ pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26 and the rules of the Commission.
- As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.a., Mr. Strong failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, three USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2).
- 3. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 3.b., Mr. Strong failed to maintain all piping, pump, manways, tank access points and ancillary equipment in a capped, plugged, locked, and/or otherwise secured in a manner to prevent access, tampering, or vandalism by unauthorized persons, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.54(b).
- 4. As evidenced by Finding of Fact Nos. 6 and 7, the Executive Director has timely served Mr. Strong with proper notice of the EDPRP, as required by Tex. WATER CODE § 7.055 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.104(a).
- 5. As evidenced by Finding of Fact No. 8, Mr. Strong has failed to file a timely answer to the EDPRP, as required by Tex. WATER CODE § 7.056 and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.105.

Don Strong DOCKET NO. 2007-1274-PST-E Page 3

Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.057 and 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.106, the Commission may enter a Default Order against Mr. Strong and assess the penalty recommended by the Executive Director.

- 6. Pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 7.051, the Commission has the authority to assess an administrative penalty against Mr. Strong for violations of the Texas Water Code and the Texas Health and Safety Code within the Commission's jurisdiction; for violations of rules adopted under such statutes; or for violations of orders or permits issued under such statutes.
- 7. An administrative penalty in the amount of seven thousand eight hundred seventy-five dollars (\$7,875.00) is justified by the facts recited in this Order, and considered in light of the factors set forth in Tex. Water Code § 7.053.
- 8. Tex. Water Code §§ 5.102 and 7.002 authorize the Commission to issue orders and make determinations necessary to effectuate the purposes of the statutes within its jurisdiction.

#### ORDERING PROVISIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ORDERS that:

1. Mr. Strong is assessed an administrative penalty in the amount of seven thousand eight hundred seventy-five dollars (\$7,875.00) for violations of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26 and rules of the TCEQ. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. Strong's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order completely resolve the matters set forth by this Order in this action. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective actions or penalties for other violations which are not raised here. All checks submitted to pay the penalty imposed by this Order shall be made out to the "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality". The administrative penalty assessed by this Order shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Order and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Don Strong; Docket No. 2007-1274-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. All relief not expressly granted in this Order is denied.

- 3. The provisions of this Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. Strong. Mr. Strong is ordered to give notice of this Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Order.
- 4. If Mr. Strong fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mr. Strong's failure to comply is not a violation of this Order. Mr. Strong shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mr. Strong shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mr. Strong becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mr. Strong shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mr. Strong receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. The Executive Director may refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings without notice to Mr. Strong if the Executive Director determines that Mr. Strong has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Order.
- 7. This Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Order, whichever is later.
- 8. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to each of the parties. By law, the effective date of this Order shall be the date the Order is final, as provided by 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.106(d) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.144.

Don Strong DOCKET NO. 2007-1274-PST-E Page 5

### SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

#### AFFIDAVIT OF PATRICK N. JACKSON

STATE OF TEXAS
COUNTY OF TRAVIS

"My name is Patrick N. Jackson. I am of sound mind, capable of making this affidavit, and the facts stated in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.

On behalf of the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, I filed the "Executive Director's Preliminary Report and Petition Recommending that the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enter an Enforcement Order Assessing an Administrative Penalty Against Don Strong" (the "EDPRP") with the Office of the Chief Clerk on February 4, 2008.

I sent the EDPRP to Mr. Strong at his last known address on February 4, 2008 via certified mail, return receipt requested, and via first class mail, postage prepaid. According to the return receipt "green card", Mr. Strong received notice of the EDPRP on February 5, 2008, as evidenced by the signature on the card.

More than 20 days have elapsed since Mr. Strong received notice of the EDPRP. Mr. Strong failed to file an answer to the EDPRP, failed to request a hearing, and failed to schedule a settlement conference".

Patrick N. Jackson

Attorney

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Patrick N. Jackson, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and consideration herein expressed.

Given under my hand and seal of office this  $2^{nd}$  day of MNU, A.D., 2008.

Mehgan Taack
Notary Public
Stamp State of Texas
My Commission Expires
April 25, 2011

Notary Signature